



MidState
federal credit union

237 Roosevelt Avenue
Carteret, NJ 07008

Phone: 732-541-4151
Fax: 732-541-4449
E-mail: info@midstatefcu.org

April 8, 2015

MidState FCU
237 Roosevelt Ave
Carteret, New Jersey 07008

Mr. Gerard Poliquin
Secretary of the Board
National Credit Union Administration
1775 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22314-3428

cc: CUNA, NJCUL

Via e-mail: regcomments@ncua.gov

RE: Comments on Proposed Rule: Risk-Based Capital, RIN 3133-AD77

Dear Mr. Poliquin:

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to comment on the amended Risk-Based Capital rule. I am writing on behalf of MidState Federal Credit Union, which serves the town of Carteret, NJ. We have approximately 1,000 members and approximately \$16 million in assets. I commend NCUA for considering the original comments it received on the original proposal and in making numerous improvements to the proposed rule. I do however, continue to question some aspects of the proposed rule and wonder if this is a rule that is truly necessary.

Under the new proposal and definition of "complex credit union" Small Asset would be exempt from the rule. However, given our growth projections, we would be near the \$100 Million asset threshold at some time during the final ruling. So, in reality, we are subject to the proposal. In my opinion, wouldn't most credit unions with an aggressive growth plan be forced to curtail that growth? While raising the threshold from \$50 Million in assets to \$100 Million is beneficial, I do not understand the reasoning for using assets as a measure of "complexity" in the first place. Take our credit union for example. MidState has a very conservative balance sheet. Wouldn't a better definition of complex be one that considers the actual potential risk the institution poses to the fund?

Based on the NCUA's own estimate that of the 1,455 credit unions having assets greater than \$100 Million, only 27 would have a risk based capital level below the proposed 10% threshold, it seems that this is a rule that is unnecessary. Why not use the current risk based net worth requirement already included in PCA as a means for more closely monitoring those credit



unions with more risk in their balance sheet? Why make a national rule that affects a large percentage of credit unions that would not have to change how they do business anyway? A rule that just adds more operational complexity and burden without adding any real value or increased safety to the share insurance fund is unnecessary regulation.

I do believe it is important that NCUA keeps an active watch out for the safety of the share insurance fund. However, I believe you can effectively do so without this proposed regulation. Instead, spend your time and energy focusing on ways you can assist credit unions in helping their members by reducing regulatory burden.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on this proposed rule and for considering our views.

Sincerely,

Tracy Sussmann, CCUE
President/CEO

cc: Deborah Matz, NCUA Chairman
Richard Metsger, NCUA Vice Chairman
J. Mark McWatters, NCUA Board Member