

## Regulatory Comments

---

**From:** Evie Rasmussen <no-reply@cuanswers.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 06, 2015 2:44 PM  
**To:** \_Regulatory Comments  
**Subject:** Risk-Based Capital Comment

To: Regulatory Comments  
From: Evie Rasmussen  
United Advantage NW FCU

03/06/2015

Dear Mr. Poliquin:

When CUs are engaged in a daily, hand-to-hand struggle to help folks improve their lives, to encourage their hopes, to educate their kids, and to find a way to stretch shrinking paychecks to the end of the month: then yes, I get angry and incensed by silly people, sheltered from accountability and the hard realities of this desperate economic struggle who recklessly and insensibly make our tasks unnecessarily more difficult. RBC needs to go. When CUs are engaged in a daily, hand-to-hand struggle to help folks improve their lives, to encourage their hopes, to educate their kids, and to find a way to stretch shrinking paychecks to the end of the month: then yes, I get angry and incensed by silly people, sheltered from accountability and the hard realities of this desperate economic struggle who recklessly and insensibly make our tasks unnecessarily more difficult. RBC needs to go. So does going before Congress under the auspices of compliance relief just to ask for more power over third parties.

I will go once step farther since you did not listen to use the first time; the worst thing we ever did was to fund our own share insurance then let NCUA guard it. We gave too much power and too much money. Yep, we're crafty that way. I can only hope we make it through the Matz/Metzger regime or find another insurance for our members. You have gone too far.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Evie Rasmussen". The signature is stylized and somewhat cursive, with a large initial "E" and "R".

Evie Rasmussen  
United Advantage NW FCU