
From: Scott McFarland <no-reply@cuanswers.com>
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2015 2:27 PM
To: _Regulatory Comments
Subject: Risk-Based Capital Comment

To: Regulatory Comments
From: Scott McFarland
Honor

02/13/2015

Dear Mr. Poliquin:

To Whom It May Concern:

Although Congress has stated NCUA must develop risk based capital standards and they must be formulated in a similar fashion as the banking industry, we do not believe Congress wished to create a tax on members and abandon the cooperative principals of credit unions. Since the publication in the Federal Register the actual costs associated with this capital tax have been challenged. Recently NAFCU published an estimate that credit unions will need to raise an additional \$760 million dollars in capital to achieve their current capital levels. Because credit unions only have one source of earnings, that additional capital tax must come directly out of our members' pockets through a reduction in savings rates, increase in loan rates, and potentially changes to transaction fees. We believe NCUA's estimate falls far short of the actual cost to the industry and again focused on the potential risk to the insurance fund rather than those they regulate and ultimately their members . In an effort to remain the best financial resource for our members, we would encourage the NCUA to withdraw the proposed rule altogether.

There are too many examples today of government justifying its own existence. Since credit unions are the ONLY reason for the NCUA's existence, it might be wise if they don't put useless rules into place that create more red tape and no value, other than self fulfilling justification.

Please withdraw RBC2.

A large, bold, handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'SMF'. The signature is stylized and occupies a significant portion of the lower half of the page.

Scott McFarland
Honor