Ent Federal Credit Union
P.O. Box 15819
Colorado Springs, CO 80935-5819
(719) 574-1100 · 800-525-9623
Ent.com

April 27, 2015

Submitted electronically to: regcomments@ncua.gov

Gerard Poliquin, Secretary of the Board
National Credit Union Administration
1775 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22314-3428

Re: NCUA's Risk Based Capital Proposal, RIN 3133-AD77

Dear Mr. Poliquin,

Ent Federal Credit Union applauds the NCUA for listening to industry feedback and making changes to this second Risk-based Capital proposal. We are pleased to have the opportunity to comment on the revised Proposed Rule. Ent is a $4 billion community chartered credit union serving over 230,000 members in the state of Colorado.

Ent Federal Credit Union stands by our first letter and will reiterate; we do not support the proposed rule on risked based capital, either version. During the recent economic downturn, bank losses as a percent of insured deposits were five times the level of losses in credit unions. If losses due to the corporate credit union implosion were factored out, credit union statistics would have been even better. So is there a problem with the current regulatory capital requirements? We think not.

While we understand one of the agency's objectives is to ensure safety and soundness of credit unions and reduce future losses to the share insurance fund, implementation of new risk based capital rules would not, in our opinion, achieve that end in the most practical way. We have had experience absorbing troubled credit unions in the past. A common characteristic that led to the majority of those mergers was not the inadequate capital they had in the end. In each case, we felt the failures could have been prevented with adequate management and timely regulatory oversight of those credit unions as they delved into high risk lines of business. The failure of three of the institutions we merged could have, and should have been prevented long before the capital was depleted. Had the proposed risk based capital rules been in place in the years leading up to the failure of those credit unions, the result would have been the same. Therefore, what benefit would the new regulations bring in similar situations going forward? Excess capital will not compensate if management and oversight are lacking for an extended period of time.

The proposed rule would seemingly do more harm than good, in that it could very well result in unintended negative consequences to credit unions and to the industry. The revised rule, as written, has clear potential to restrict growth, motivate credit unions to cut back on services, avoid strategies/products that are illogically risk weighted (mortgages, longer-term investments), pursue converting to a bank charter, etc.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the proposed regulation.

Sincerely,

MJ Coon, EVP/CFO
Ent Federal Credit Union
7250 Campus Drive
Colorado Springs, CO 80920