



Fairfax County Federal Credit Union

Creating valued relationships by helping build your financial future

PO Box 1300 • Fairfax, Virginia 22038-1300 • 703.218.9900 • FAX 703.591.9538 • www.fairfaxcu.org

April 13, 2015

Mr. Gerald Poliquin
Secretary of the Board
National Credit Union Administration
1775 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Submitted via e-mail to: regcomments@ncua.gov

Re: Comments on NCUA Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Prompt Corrective Action;
Risk-Based Capital) RIN 3133-AD77

Dear Mr. Poliquin:

This comment letter represents my view on NCUA's revised risk-based capital proposal. I am EVP and CFO of Fairfax County Federal Credit Union. I appreciate that NCUA has addressed a number of my concerns and those expressed by the industry in approximately 2000 comment letters. However, I still believe the proposed rule is unnecessary and punitive as related to credit union growth. I believe the revised rule is still flawed. My comments are highlighted below.

Lack of justification and authority

Credit unions and the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund (NCUSIF) have performed well under current PCA rules. There is no need to overhaul credit union capital requirements. According to our national association (CUNA), from 2008-2012 the NCUSIF fund balance never fell below its historical range of 1.2 to 1.3% of insured deposits. Further, the stability of the fund only required two premium payments of 24 basis points combined in 2009 and 2010. In imposing "bank-like" risk-based capital standards, NCUA ignores the cooperative structure of not-for-profit, member-owned credit unions.

We stated in response to the original proposal: "At minimum, the NCUA should peg any risk-weighted system to the statute's adequately-capitalized level of 6% versus the proposal which uses the well-capitalized level of 7%." There is legitimate debate as to whether the agency has exceeded its legal authority to implement a risk-based capital requirement for a credit union to be well-capitalized. The Federal Credit Union Act expressly directs NCUA to connect risk-based requirements to the adequately-capitalized classification.

Determining “complexity” goes beyond asset size

We appreciate that the revised proposal raises from \$50 million to \$100 million the threshold of applicability. Being \$100 million in assets doesn't automatically make a credit union complex. Rather the characteristics imbedded within an institution's portfolio as assets and liabilities should determine complexity.

Risk-Weights improved under revised proposal but still an issue

We acknowledge that the agency has made a number of positive changes to proposed risk weightings. We believe the following risk weights remain too high:

- First lien residential mortgage loans over 35% of assets would have a risk weight of 75% versus the 50% measure used for banks.
- Certain real estate loans over 20% of assets would also have higher risk weights than those provided in bank regulation.
- Commercial loans over 50% of assets would have a risk weight of 150%, as opposed to the weighting for banks being as low as 100% in some instances.

Additional suggestions

1. NCUA should permit the use of secondary capital in meeting risk-based capital requirements. Further, the agency should pursue legislation that would authorize the use of supplemental capital as net worth under PCA.
2. NCUA should not proceed with a separate interest rate risk rule. Interest rate risk is being supervised today under agency guidance.
3. All previous supervisory goodwill should be grandfathered without time constraints in calculating the risk-based capital ratio.

In closing, we thank you for listening to our concerns and the dialog resulting from the original risk-based proposal. Again, we appreciate the opportunity to respond and provide comments on this proposed rule. Respectfully, we continue to ask that the proposal be withdrawn in its entirety. Absent that, we urge NCUA to address our remaining concerns.

Sincerely,



James Pendulik, CPA
EVP/CFO Fairfax County FCU

Cc: Credit Union National Association
Virginia State Corporation Commission