

From: [Christine Miller](#)
To: [Regulatory Comments](#)
Subject: Risk-Based Capital Comment
Date: Monday, April 20, 2015 10:07:01 AM

To: Regulatory Comments
From: Christine Miller
Day Air Credit Union

04/20/2015

Dear Mr. Poliquin:

Our credit union leadership team feels that while there is no question the NCUA did make changes in the RBC rule with respect to such items as the definition of “complex” credit unions, eliminating IRR, and extending the implementation timeframe, the impact to the industry if RBC2 is passed remains highly suspect and likely detrimental. Although the proposal was 450 pages, far too many were reviews of the comments and the NCUA’s rebuttal or disregard of them. In a vacuum, the changes accepted by the NCUA would appear good but in fact are designed to draw credit union leadership away from impact of the rule as a whole. We believe that the RBC rule will increase costs to members, expand the right of the NCUA to interfere in the governance of credit unions through Prompt Corrective Action (“PCA”), and threaten the financial stability of the industry long term.

Our credit union board and management team are making numerous decisions about the composition of our balance sheet and capital adequacy based on the needs of our unique membership and local community. These factors do not just take into consideration the asset type, but include the reasons for our charter to begin with, corresponding funding from liabilities, and unique economic needs of the communities they serve. These thousands of local decisions are driven by diverse business priorities, pricing and growth objectives as well as responses to unique local needs. We believe our decisions have resulted in varied portfolio strategies which enhance the balance sheet’s overall soundness rather than a single approach nationwide to risk management. RBC2 puts that at risk.

I would like to recommend that the NCUA truly believes that this rule will uncover the outliers and those credit unions that should operate with higher levels of capital than make this rule a test similar to those currently being performed like the 17/4. The OCC has numerous ratios and tests which they perform based upon call report information such as the canary ratios. These ratios are designed to uncover outliers and direct supervision in these areas to review.

I am an employee and member of a credit union and I am opposed to the revised Risk-Based Capital regulation. First, because although we are fundamentally different from banks, this regulation seeks to treat us the same. And second, because even the FDIC's Vice Chairman thinks a Risk-Based Capital approach is a bad idea! By implementing the rule, you won't serve our interests, our members', or the NCUSIF's; you'll only be creating a bigger mess for later. Please remove RBC from consideration. Thank you,

Christine C.Miller

Christine Miller
Day Air Credit Union