

From: [laurie a. hilger](#)
To: [Regulatory Comments](#)
Subject: Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Associational Common Bond; RIN 3133-AE31
Date: Wednesday, February 03, 2016 4:49:26 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

This message was sent securely using [ZixCorp.](#)

Dear Mr. Poliquin,

First National Bank of Hartford] is a \$185 million dollar community institution in Hartford, Wisconsin. We are active supporters of all things that made the communities we serve a vibrant place to live and do business. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Credit Union Administration's (NCUA's) proposal to revise its Chartering and Field of Membership Manual.

As a community banker with First National Bank of Hartford, I am gravely concerned over NCUA's use of its rulemaking authority in an attempt to:

- expand the definition of community;
- provide additional methods of membership for multiple common bond credit unions;
- redefine members' proximity to multiple common bond credit unions beyond what I believe are limits in both size and scope specifically imposed by Congress under both the Credit Union Membership Access Act (CUMAA) and the Federal Credit Union Act (FCU Act), in exchange for credit unions' tax exempt status.

I strongly oppose any attempt that allows credit unions the ability to drastically expand membership while allowing them to remain tax-exempt.

NCUA, as regulator, must examine credit union practices against the requirements established by Congress—not use rulemaking as a means to circumvent the plain language of CUMAA or the FCU Act.

Under the FCU Act, as amended in 1998, Congress intentionally included the term “local” as a means of limiting the geographic scope of community chartered credit unions. I believe the term, combined with the term “well-defined”, clearly demonstrates that Congress intended to impose narrow limits on the area a community credit union may serve. NCUA's proposal, however, would treat a Combined Statistical Area and a Congressional District as a well-defined local community. Additionally, the proposal would expand the rural district population limit by four times the current threshold to one million. I assert NCUA's proposal is contrary to Congressional intention on community credit union charters as it goes beyond any reasonable definition of local.

Additionally, the FCU Act states, “[i]n general, the Board shall encourage the formation of separately chartered credit unions instead of approving an application to include an additional group within the field of membership of an existing credit union whenever practicable and consistent with reasonable standards for the safe and sound operation of the credit union.” I contend that Congress deliberately instructed NCUA to keep credit unions small and focused on providing services to specific groups that lack other access to financial services. NCUA's proposal disregards this directive. It would modify the current

process for assessing stand-alone feasibility of groups that seek to be added to a field of membership of an existing multiple common bond credit union by allowing a streamlined determination for groups between 3,000 and 4,999 potential new members. The proposal once again is in direct conflict with the FCU Act which has established a 3,000 threshold for the determination of stand-alone feasibility of groups.

Conclusion

In exchange for tax-exempt status and other advantages, Congress limited the size and scope of activities for credit unions. I strongly oppose NCUA's use of rulemaking to expand membership beyond the limits established by Congress under both CUMAA and the FCU Act.

I strongly oppose any attempt that allows credit unions the ability to drastically expand membership while allowing them to remain tax-exempt.

I also believe the proposal is not the type of rulemaking an independent, supervisory agency such as NCUA should engage in, as it flies in the face of an agency's duties to examine credit union practices against the requirements established by Congress—including chartering and field of membership rules. For these reasons, NCUA must withdrawal its proposal.

Once again, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on NCUA's proposal.

Sincerely,

Laurie A. Hilger
Vice President & Chief Financial Officer
First National Bank
Your *community* bank. Your *opportunity* bank.

262-673-5800 (main)
262-670-3880 (direct)
www.fnb-hartford.com



This message was secured by [ZixCorp](#)^(R).