

Regulatory Comments

From: Madison Faulkner <no-reply@cuanswers.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2015 7:05 PM
To: _Regulatory Comments
Subject: Risk-Based Capital Comment

To: Regulatory Comments
From: Madison Faulkner
Horizon Federal Credit Union

04/08/2015

Dear Mr. Poliquin:

I am an employee and member of a credit union and I am opposed to the revised Risk-Based Capital regulation. If your goal is to protect the NCUSIF, why implement a rule that will make it harder for credit unions to provide high quality services and rates to their owners? In the last ten years, fraud has caused 41% of failures. Turn your attentions to what matters, don't harm the vast majority of credit unions that have been operating the right way for years. Thank you,

I am an employee and member of a credit union and I am opposed to the revised Risk-Based Capital regulation. I worry that the new rule will force us to ditch services that have been benefiting our members safely for years because you've deemed them to be too risky across the board without looking at the specifics. This is not effective regulation, it's undue punishment for our members and community. Thank you,

I am an employee and member of a credit union and I am opposed to the revised Risk-Based Capital regulation. First, because although we are fundamentally different from banks, this regulation seeks to treat us the same. And second, because even the FDIC's Vice Chairman thinks a Risk-Based Capital approach is a bad idea! By implementing the rule, you won't serve our interests, our members', or the NCUSIF's; you'll only be creating a bigger mess for later. Please remove RBC from consideration. Thank you,

Madison Faulkner

Madison Faulkner
Horizon Federal Credit Union