

Regulatory Comments

From: Kelly Wright <no-reply@cuanswers.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2015 12:12 PM
To: _Regulatory Comments
Subject: Risk-Based Capital Comment


To: Regulatory Comments
From: Kelly Wright
Frankenmuth Credit Union

04/02/2015

Dear Mr. Poliquin:

I believe the revised RBC rule penalizes credit unions for specific activities such as real estate lending, member business lending, and credit unions chartered to assist the un-bankable by placing a capital tax on the resulting assets of low income or poor credit lending. We believe the end result will be thousands of homogenous balance sheets in 2025 that you can easily understand from a supervisory perspective. However, this current risk posture of the NCUA cannot fail but to lead credit unions to shy away from diversity or cooperative reason for the charter and field of membership. The end result of this rule will ultimately force credit unions into potential areas of investment and lending that the credit union lacks experience with or create industry wide concentrations that could be impacted by similar economic variables. In and of itself, this rule creates more risk than it proposes to control.

As mentioned by the Hon J. Mark McWatters, the NCUA cannot just “piggyback” on to the FDIC unless they have the authority from Congress to do so. The plain language of the statute contradicts the NCUA’s interpretation. After all, if the NCUA was to be given the same PCA authority as the FDIC, Congress could have done exactly that. The clear intent of Congress was to create a separate system for our industry, and the NCUA must operate within those confines.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Kelly Wright". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Kelly Wright
Frankenmuth Credit Union