

**From:** [Mike Murphy](#)  
**To:** [Regulatory Comments](#)  
**Subject:** Mike Murphy: Comments on Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Associational Common Bond  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2016 7:04:24 PM

---

Gerard Poliquin  
National Credit Union Administration  
Alexandria DC 22314

Mike Murphy: Comments on Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Associational  
Common Bond

Dear Gerard Poliquin:

Dear Mr. Poliquin:

As a banker, I am concerned about the impact of further expanding the credit union industry's potential field of membership through the proposed rule on Chartering and Field of Membership. The provisions of this proposal, when implemented all together, would provide federal credit unions with the opportunity to increase membership drastically, resulting in a broad expansion of the credit union industry's tax subsidy. I believe finalizing this proposal will place the credit union industry's tax exempt status in jeopardy.

Congress has kept in place advantages for the credit union industry, but those advantages come with limitations, including the size of the institutions and scope of activities. Congress understood that if community credit unions were to fulfill their public mission, there needed to be a legitimate shared bond among members, even amending the FCU Act in 1998, to include the term "local." Combined with the terms "well-defined," it is clear Congress intended to impose finite and narrow limits on the area that a community credit union may serve. This proposal goes beyond any reasonable definition of local and well-defined. The proposed rule intends to treat a Combined Statistical Area and a Congressional District as a well-defined local community. In addition, the proposal expands the rural district population limit by four times the current threshold to one million.

Sincerely,  
Mike Murphy  
260 Country Club Rd Ste 100  
Eugene, OR 97401